

ARFTG Paper Review Guidelines

ARFTG Technical Program Committee members are asked to rate each paper against the four criteria below. Acceptance of a paper will be based upon a numerical score that is derived from these ratings. The four rating criteria are listed on the **ARFTG Reviewers website**, and they receive equal weighting in the scoring, using a scale of 0 to 5. These criteria with suggested expectations of scoring are:

A. Originality. Does the paper have (a) Technical, (b) Application, or (c) Commercial significance? Discussion should point out the contribution in one of these three areas; the contribution can be theoretical, experimental or application/design. Rate as:

- 5 Work of major international importance
- 4 Significant results of wide interest
- 3 Useful, worthy of presentation/publication
- 2 Marginal, may be of interest to a few
- 1 Not significant
- 0 Trivial, erroneous or plagiarized

B. Quantitative Results. Does the paper include specific results with explicit supporting data? Rate as:

- 5 Full and comprehensive information
- 4 All necessary information is included
- 3 Adequate for presentation
- 2 Could do with more information
- 1 Only minimal data provided
- 0 Insufficient information

C. Clarity. Is the contribution clear? Are the writing and accompanying figures clear and understandable? Are the references to previous work by the authors and others included? Rate as:

- 5 The paper is a model of clarity and completeness
- 4 Clear and likely to be understood by most readers
- 3 Adequate, with only minor unclear information
- 2 Some shortcomings make it difficult to follow
- 1 Very hard to understand, missing key information
- 0 Obscure, illiterate, impossible to understand

D. Interest to ARFTG Membership. Does this work fall within one of the technical areas of the conference? Rate as:

- 5 This paper is an absolute must for everyone; it will change your life.
- 4 Everyone involved in this topic should make time.
- 3 If you are involved in this subject, stay for this.
- 2 See this if there is nothing better to do.
- 1 Very few people will be interested.
- 0 Avoid this paper. Don't waste your time.

E. Disposition & Comments

Please recommend an action of **accept** or **reject**.

Please indicate whether you think the paper should be an **Oral** or **Poster** presentation.

Optionally, provide comments to the authors and/or confidential comments to the Technical Program Committee Chair.

If you have questions, please contact the Technical Program Committee Chair: tpc@arftg.org